NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT

ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2) Date of mailing (day/month/year)	COHAUSZ & FLORAGK Bleichstrasse 14 40211 Düsseldorf ALLEMAGNE 16. NOV. 2006
09 November 2006 (09.11.2006)	Silael
Applicant's or agent's file reference 040260WO*	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003023	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 March 2005 (22.03.2005)
Applicant HYDRO ALUMINIUM	DEUTSCHLAND GMBH et al

	777		4		
l.	Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant.

•	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report or patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 040260WO*	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/003023	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 March 2005 (22.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24 March 2004 (24.03.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant HYDRO ALUMINIUM DEUTSCHLAND GMBH		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority ·		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			

	Date of issuance of this report 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) See form PCT/ISA/210 Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 040260WO* See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 24.03.2004 PCT/EP2005/003023 22.03.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C22F1/00, C22F1/04, C21D1/46, C21D1/78 Applicant HYDRO ALUMINIUM DEUTSCHLAND GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis, I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003023

В	ox No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was a unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	-	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ntion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	-
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3	i. 🔲	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4	I. Add	ditional comments:	
			Ì

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003023

			tle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; sporting such statement	************	
I.	Statement				
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
	Inventiv	ve step (IS)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO
l					

- 2. Citations and explanations:
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-A-4 968 358 (PELLMAN ET AL) 6 November 1990 (1990-11-06)
 - D2: HILL H N ET AL: "THE THERMO-MECHANICAL METHOD FOR RELIEVING RESIDUAL QUENCHING STRESSES IN ALUMINIUM ALLOYS" TRANSACTIONS OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR METALS, XX, US, vol. 52, 1959, pages 657-674, XP008049567 ISSN: 0096-7416
 - 2. Document D1 is considered to be the closest prior art. It discloses (the references between parentheses relate to said document):

A method for the heat treatment of aluminium workpieces, comprising the following handling steps [columns and 7-8]:

- solution annealing with subsequent quenching in water
- immersion in liquid nitrogen, until an equilibrium temperature is reached
- heating up in a steam bath of a fluorochemical compound to a temperature below the ageing temperature of the alloy.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from this in that

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/003023

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the heating-up operation takes place in a salt melt, with the result, according to the invention, that high heating-up rates and high process efficiency are achieved.

2.1 The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of deriving an alternative "up-hill" heat treatment method with high heating-up rates and good process efficiency.

2.2 The solution to this problem that is proposed in claim 1 of the present application involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

The prior art does disclose heating-up trials with a salt melt [see D2, page 660, page 665]. However, these are not deemed to be advisable on account of the contact of the salt melt with the frozen component surface and the low heating-up rate. It must be assumed that these problems can be overcome with the composition of the salt bath that is presented in the description.

The use of a salt melt in the so-called "up-hill" heat treatment method is consequently neither feasibly disclosed nor suggested by the prior art. The subject matter of claim 1 accordingly involves an inventive step.

2.3 Claims 2 to 11 are dependent on claim 1 and consequently likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

International application No. PCT/EP2005/003023

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

It is evident from the description on page 6 that a specific concentration and composition of the salt melt are necessary to achieve the high thermal conductivity required for the invention.

Since independent claim 1 does not include these features, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that any independent claim must include all the technical features that are essential for the definition of the invention.